



# Natural Gas Vehicle Role in Fuel Diversity for California

Presentation to California Energy Commission  
December 20, 2004  
By Michael Eaves

1



# Process to Achieve Goals

- ▶ Codify goals in state law
  - ▶ Petroleum reduction and alt fuel penetration
- ▶ Recognize what fuels/approaches can get you what gains
- ▶ Develop long term state policies
- ▶ Provide adequate incentives for market transformation



# Goals



# Underestimating

- ▶ CEC may be grossly understating the problem given the expected long and political protracted battle to increase CAFE
  - ▶ Without substantial/timely changes in CAFÉ California will face scenario of increase dependence on petroleum
- ▶ What is happening on world stage to petroleum consumption may make California efforts fall short of preventing economic disruptions
  - ▶ Increased petroleum use in developing nations will place constant upward competitive price pressure on petroleum regardless of what California does
  - ▶ Alternative fuel penetrations greater than projected may be needed



# How



# Fuels and Vehicles

- ▶ Fuels
  - ▶ CNG and LNG
  - ▶ Propane
  - ▶ Hydrogen
  - ▶ Others (blends and neat fuels)
- ▶ Vehicles
  - ▶ High efficiency vehicles



# Blend Fuel Issues

- ▶ **Blend fuels don't change the market power equation for oil companies**
- ▶ **Continue dependence on gasoline and diesel**
- ▶ Vertically integrated oil companies have to deal with another entity for blend stock supply (ethanol producers, GTL producers)
- ▶ Increasing blend ratios has same impact as reducing oil company revenue if blend stocks have to be purchased from other entities
- ▶ Potential of economic/supply disruptions of blend stocks (e.g. corn for ethanol, natural gas for GTL plants overseas)
- ▶ Economic viability of blend stock industries



## Blend Fuel Issues (cont.)

- ▶ CARB, OEMs, and petroleum companies agreement on formulation standards to guarantee emissions and performance
- ▶ OEMs have to design vehicles to accommodate fuel(s)
- ▶ Distribution channel contamination
- ▶ California or national fuels
- ▶ California or 50 state vehicle production
- ▶ How many blend fuels can be sustained





# High Efficiency Vehicle Issues

- ▶ Political obstacles of achieving higher CAFÉ
- ▶ Reduced revenue for petroleum companies based on lower consumption per vehicle
- ▶ Lower tax revenues for the state based on lower consumption per vehicle
- ▶ High investment costs for OEMs



# Natural Gas



# Proven Markets for NGVs

- ▶ HD
  - ▶ Transit
  - ▶ School buses
  - ▶ Refuse
  - ▶ HD Trucks
  - ▶ Street Sweepers
- ▶ LD Vehicles
  - ▶ Compact cars, Pickups, Vans
- ▶ Essentially no vehicle product where natural gas couldn't be used



# California Market Penetration

- ▶ 30,000 total NGVs
- ▶ 5,000 HD vehicles
  - ▶ Transit, Refuse, Trucks, and School buses
- ▶ 25,000 LD vehicles
- ▶ Displacing 70-75 million gallons/yr of petroleum (CNG and LNG)



# Limited NGV Products

- ▶ Variable/changing policies create great risk for manufacturers
- ▶ Uncertainty in public policy creates reluctance for manufacturers to expand product lines
- ▶ Unified, long-term policies will expand vehicle/engine offerings
- ▶ Foreign examples

13



# California Infrastructure

- ▶ 300 natural gas stations in California
- ▶ 50% stations public access
- ▶ 3% of stations for petroleum fueling
- ▶ No stations are joint venture stations with petroleum companies

14



# Natural Gas Infrastructure

- ▶ **California business model allows expansion of market without oil companies**
- ▶ Notion of needing 10,000 fuel stations in Calif. to serve NGV market is incorrect
- ▶ Diesel market for hundreds of thousands of HD trucks supplied with network of 1,000 stations
- ▶ Home fueling will open market to consumer vehicles
- ▶ South American NGV market
  - ▶ Brazil – 850,000 vehicles - 900 stations
  - ▶ Argentina – 1.2 million vehicles - 1,200 stations

15



# Natural Gas Supply Issues

- ▶ Expanding need for natural gas
  - ▶ Power generation
  - ▶ Residential, commercial, and industrial markets
- ▶ Need for natural gas based on fact that it is the most environmentally friendly fossil fuel (EPA)
- ▶ How can you promote NGVs in addition to other demands for natural gas??





# NGVs in Perspective

- ▶ Demand growth for natural gas in other markets will far exceed growth of natural gas as a transportation fuel
- ▶ Solving California's need for natural gas will solve supply issues for NGVs (LNG import terminals, pipelines, renewables)
- ▶ 1 billion gallons of petroleum displaced by natural gas would equal 5% of California's natural gas use today
- ▶ NGV current market represents 0.375% of California's natural gas consumption

17



# NGVs Can Deliver

- ▶ Focus on high fuel use fleet applications
- ▶ Infrastructure capable of building a consumer market
- ▶ 30,000 HD vehicles in high fuel use fleet applications can displace 400 million gallons of diesel fuel 2020
- ▶ 100,000 HD vehicles will displace 1 billion gallons of diesel by 2030
- ▶ 500,000 to 1 million LD NGVs displace 500 million gallons of gasoline by 2030
- ▶ 8-10% of today's petroleum use by 2030

18



# Policies



# Changing California Policies

Emissions and environmental  
policy drivers

TO

Energy security, petroleum  
displacement, greenhouse gases  
policy drivers



# NGV Development Spurred by Federal and State Policies

- ▶ EPACT ('92) – Energy Security
- ▶ CEC Energy Diversity Programs
  - ▶ Methanol Transit Bus Program
  - ▶ Safe - Clean School Bus Program
  - ▶ Flex-fuel Program for LD Vehicles
- ▶ CPUC LEV Programs
- ▶ ARB Programs
  - ▶ LEV Program
  - ▶ ZEV Program
  - ▶ Carl Moyer Program
- ▶ SCAQMD Fleet Rules

21



# Look Familiar?

- ▶ **EPACT ('92)**
  - ▶ Energy security/diversity
  - ▶ Petroleum displacement
    - ▶ 10% by 2000
    - ▶ 30% by 2010
  - ▶ Flawed design (LD focus)
  - ▶ Monitoring but no enforcement
- ▶ **AB2076 goals**
- ▶ **Question: 12 years from now, will California have an energy policy as ineffective as EPACT???**



# EPACT Impact on California

- ▶ Purchase of bi-fuel/flex fuel that have never displaced petroleum
- ▶ Mindset was how to comply but not how to achieve objectives
- ▶ California policies support emissions reductions but not petroleum displacement

23



# **“California Energy Policy Act”**

- ▶ Focus on petroleum displacement
- ▶ Parallel focus on greenhouse gases
- ▶ For heavy-duty as well as light duty vehicles
- ▶ On-road and off-road
- ▶ Incentives to encourage market transformation





# California State Vehicles

- ▶ Ref. 2001 / 2002 State purchases
- ▶ 24% vehicles purchased subject to EPACT
- ▶ 19% vehicles purchased alt fuels
- ▶ 65% vehicles purchased had alt fuel option
- ▶ 0% vehicles ended up using alt fuels



# Incentives



# Incentives

- ▶ Moyer program for emissions reductions funded at \$130+ million per year
- ▶ In 5 years – environmental advantage for natural gas over diesel may disappear
- ▶ “Moyer” type program needed to incent alternative fuels
- ▶ Greatest incentives for 100% dedicated non-petroleum fuels (e.g. NG, H<sub>2</sub>, propane, etc.)



# Recommendations

- ▶ Codify ALL AB2076 goals in state law
  - ▶ Petroleum reduction
  - ▶ Alt Fuel penetration
- ▶ Decide who at state level would administer an alt fuels policy
- ▶ Develop long range policies for California
- ▶ Develop incentives for market transformation (e.g. “Moyer” type program for non-petroleum)
- ▶ State supported R&D for new generations of products/technologies

28